

- 8 -

REMARKS

Applicant has re-numbered the claims in accordance with the Examiner's amendment. Applicant has further amended the dependent claims to correctly depend from the re-numbered claims.

Applicant has also amended Claim 16, line 7 according to the Examiner's suggestion.

The Examiner has rejected Claims 1-31 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. Specifically, the Examiner has argued that Claims 1, 16, 28 and 31 do not clearly state how the channels using matching criteria defines. Applicant respectfully disagrees. The Examiner has also stated that, in Claim 11, there is insufficient antecedent basis for "the match." In any case, applicant respectfully asserts that such rejections have been avoided in view of the amendments made hereinabove to each of the independent claims along with the clarification made to Claim 11.

The Examiner is thanked for the allowable subject matter of Claim 30. The Examiner has objected to Claim 30 as being dependent on a rejected claim. Applicant notes that each of the independent claims has been amended to at least substantially include the subject matter of Claim 30.

Thus, all of the independent claims are deemed allowable. Moreover, the remaining dependent claims are further deemed allowable, in view of their dependence on such independent claims.

- 9 -

In the event a telephone conversation would expedite the prosecution of this application, the Examiner may reach the undersigned at (408) 505-5100. The Commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-1351 (Order No. NAI1P346/01.223.01).

Respectfully submitted,
Zilka/Kotab, PC.

Kevin J. Zilka
Registration No. 41,429

P.O. Box 721120
San Jose, CA 95172-1120
408-505-5100